

EQUIVALENT INVARIANT MEASURES

BY

JOSEPH MAX ROSENBLATT

ABSTRACT

Let G be a finitely-generated group of non-singular measurable transformations of a measure space (X, β, p) . Fix $A \in \beta$ with $p(A) > 0$. A general technique for groups gives sufficient conditions for there to exist a G -invariant measure ν equivalent to p with $\nu(A) = 1$. These conditions are phrased in terms of the growth behavior of $g \rightarrow p(gB)$ for $B \in \beta$. The question of necessity is handled in some special cases.

Let (X, β, p) be a σ -finite positive measure space. An *invertible measurable transformation* T of X is a one-to-one onto map $T: X \rightarrow X$ such that both T and T^{-1} are β -measurable. T is *non-singular* if $p(TE) = 0$ exactly when $p(E) = 0$ for $E \in \beta$. If T is non-singular then so is T^{-1} .

A *finitely-additive measure* u on (X, β) is a set function $u: \beta \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that $u(A \cup B) = u(A) + u(B)$ if A and B are disjoint measurable sets. Given two finitely-additive measures u and v on (X, β) , we say u is *equivalent* to v when $u(E) = 0$ if and only if $v(E) = 0$ for $E \in \beta$. The term *measure* will be reserved for a countably-additive $u: \beta \rightarrow [0, \infty]$.

If u is a finitely-additive measure on (X, β) and T is an invertible measurable transformation of X , we say u is *T-invariant* when $u(TE) = u(E)$ for all $E \in \beta$. If G is a group of invertible measurable transformations of X under composition, u is *G-invariant* when u is *T-invariant* for all $T \in G$.

If $B \in \beta$, 1_B denotes the *characteristic function* of B . If F is a finite subset of a set X and f is a real-valued function of X then $\langle 1_F, f \rangle$ denotes $\sum_{x \in F} f(x)$. For any linear functional ϕ on a linear space E of real-valued functions on X , we write $\langle \phi, f \rangle$ for the value $\phi(f)$ when $f \in E$.

Received February 8, 1973 and in revised form June 18, 1973

Cardinality of a set F will be denoted by $\|F\|$. For sets A and B , $A \setminus B$ is the difference set and $A \Delta B$ is $(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$.

1.

Let G be a group and let \mathcal{L} be the linear space under pointwise addition of real valued functions on G . For $H \in \mathcal{L}$ and $g \in G$, $R_g H \in \mathcal{L}$ is defined by $R_g H(x) = H(xg)$. For a positive $H \in \mathcal{L}$ with $H \neq 0$, we define B_H to be the subspace of \mathcal{L} consisting of all functions K such that there exists $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ and $r > 0$ with $|K| \leq r \sum_{i=1}^n R_{g_i} H$. B_H is then invariant under the action of G on \mathcal{L} in that $R_g K \in B_H$ for any $g \in G$ and $K \in B_H$.

A linear functional ϕ on B_H is positive when $\langle \phi, K \rangle \geq 0$ for all $K \in B_H$ with $K \geq 0$. We say ϕ is G -invariant if $\langle \phi, R_g K \rangle = \langle \phi, K \rangle$ for all $g \in G$ and $K \in B_H$. For a finite set $F \subset G$ we write 1_F for the positive linear functional given by $\langle 1_F, K \rangle = \sum_{x \in F} K(x)$ for $K \in \mathcal{L}$.

We assume that G is finitely-generated by a finite set F . We write F^N for the set of all products $f_1 \cdots f_N$ where f_i is in F , F^{-1} , or $\{e\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $H \in \mathcal{L}$ be a positive non-zero function. Assume*

$$\lim_N \langle 1_{F^N}, H \rangle^{1/N} = 1.$$

Then there is a positive G -invariant linear functional ϕ on B_H such that $\langle \phi, H \rangle = 1$. Also, there is a subnet $\{N_\gamma\}$ of the sequence $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that for all $K \in B_H$,

$$\lim_\gamma \langle 1_{F^{N_\gamma}}, K \rangle / \langle 1_{F^{N_\gamma}}, H \rangle = \langle \phi, K \rangle.$$

PROOF. For each finite set $F_0 \subset G$, there is $M \geq 1$ with $F_0 \subset F^M$. Hence, if $F_1 = F^M$ then $F_1 \supset F_0$ and $\lim_N \langle 1_{F_1^N}, H \rangle^{1/N} = 1$. Let $a_n = \langle 1_{F_1^{2^n}}, H \rangle$. By choosing M larger to begin with we may assume $a_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. $1 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1}/a_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/n} = 1$. So there is a sequence of integers n_k such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle 1_{F_1^{n_k+2}}, H \rangle / \langle 1_{F_1^{n_k}}, H \rangle = 1.$$

It follows that for any $f \in F_0$,

$$\langle 1_{F_1^{n_k+1} \Delta F_1^{n_k+1} f}, H \rangle / \langle 1_{F_1^{n_k+1}}, H \rangle \leq 2 \langle 1_{F_1^{n_k+2} \setminus F_1^{n_k}}, H \rangle / \langle 1_{F_1^{n_k}}, H \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

Define an index set $\mathcal{A} = \{(F_0, M, \varepsilon)\}$ where F_0 is a finite subset of G , $M \geq 1$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ for all members of \mathcal{A} . We order this set by $(F'_0, M', \varepsilon') \geq (F_0, M, \varepsilon)$ if and only

if $F_0 \supset F'_0$, $M' \geq M$, and $\varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon$. \mathcal{A} is thus a directed set. We choose $N = N(F_0, M, \varepsilon)$ such that $N \geq M$ and for all $f \in F_0$

$$\langle 1_{F^N \Delta F^{N_f}}, H \rangle / \langle 1_{F^N}, H \rangle < \varepsilon.$$

This gives us a net $\{N_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ of integers $N_\alpha \geq 1$ such that for all $g \in G$,

$$\lim_{\alpha} \langle 1_{F^{N_\alpha} \Delta F^{N_\alpha g}}, H \rangle / \langle 1_{F^{N_\alpha}}, H \rangle = 0.$$

Also, for all $N \geq 1$ eventually $N_\alpha \geq N$. Thus, $\{N_\alpha\}$ is a subnet of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

For simplicity write F_α for F^{N_α} . We know for all $g \in G$,

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\langle 1_{F_\alpha}, R_g H \rangle}{\langle 1_{F_\alpha}, H \rangle} \right| = \left| \frac{\langle 1_{F_\alpha} - 1_{F_\alpha g}, H \rangle}{\langle 1_{F_\alpha}, H \rangle} \right| \leq \frac{\langle 1_{F \Delta F_\alpha g}, H \rangle}{\langle 1_{F_\alpha}, H \rangle}.$$

So $\lim_{\alpha} \langle 1_{F_\alpha}, R_g H \rangle / \langle 1_{F_\alpha}, H \rangle = 1$, for all $g \in G$. For any $K \in B_H$ there are $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ and $r > 0$ with $|K| \leq r \sum_{i=1}^n R_{g_i} H$. Therefore, $|\langle 1_{F_\alpha}, K \rangle / \langle 1_{F_\alpha}, H \rangle| \leq 2rn$ eventually. That is, the net of linear functionals $\phi_\alpha = 1_{F_\alpha} / \langle 1_{F_\alpha}, H \rangle$ is pointwise eventually bounded on B_H . This implies that some subnet ϕ_γ converges pointwise on B_H to a linear functional ϕ . It follows immediately that $\phi \geq 0$ and $\langle \phi, H \rangle = 1$.

To see that ϕ is G -invariant let $g \in G$ and $K \in B_H$. Suppose $|K| \leq r \sum_{i=1}^n R_{g_i} H$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \phi, K \rangle - \langle \phi, R_g K \rangle| \\ &= \lim_{\gamma} \left| \frac{\langle 1_{F_\gamma}, K \rangle - \langle 1_{F_\gamma}, R_g K \rangle}{\langle 1_{F_\gamma}, H \rangle} \right| \\ &= \lim_{\gamma} \left| \frac{\langle 1_{F_\gamma}, K \rangle - \langle 1_{F_\gamma g}, K \rangle}{\langle 1_{F_\gamma}, H \rangle} \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{\gamma} \frac{\langle 1_{F_\gamma \Delta F_\gamma g}, |K| \rangle}{\langle 1_{F_\gamma}, H \rangle} \\ &\leq r \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{\gamma} \frac{\langle 1_{F_\gamma \Delta F_\gamma g}, R_{g_i} H \rangle}{\langle 1_{F_\gamma}, H \rangle} \\ &= r \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{\gamma} \frac{\langle 1_{F_\gamma g_i \Delta F_\gamma g g_i}, H \rangle}{\langle 1_{F_\gamma}, H \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1_{F_\gamma g_i \Delta F_\gamma g g_i} \leq 1_{F_\gamma g_i \Delta F_\gamma} + 1_{F_\gamma \Delta F_\gamma g g_i}$, this last term converges to zero. Hence $\langle \phi, K \rangle = \langle \phi, R_g K \rangle$ for all $g \in G$ and $K \in B_H$. The linear functional ϕ and the net $\{N_\gamma\}$ satisfy our claim. ■

LEMMA 1.2. Let (X, β, p) be a σ -finite measure space. Assume we have a finitely-additive measure u which is equivalent to p . Let v be defined for $E \in \beta$ by

$$\nu(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u(E_i) : \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \supset E, E_i \in \beta \right\}.$$

Then ν is a countably-additive measure and ν is equivalent to p . If u is G -invariant for a group G of measurable transformations of (X, β) then ν is G -invariant also.

PROOF. (See Calderón [1].)

REMARK. Calderón [1] is in the context where u is finite but his proof works equally well for any finitely-additive measure. Also, if we only know that $p(B) = 0$ implies $u(B) = 0$ for all $B \in \beta$, then $p(B) = 0$ implies $\nu(B) = 0$ for all $B \in \beta$.

THEOREM 1.3. Let (X, β, p) be a σ -finite measure space. Let G be a group generated by a finite set F and suppose G acts as a group of nonsingular measurable transformations of (X, β, p) . Assume we have a finitely-additive measure ψ on (X, β) with the following properties:

- (i) $\psi(B) = 0$ if $p(B) = 0$;
- (ii) $\psi(gA) \neq 0$ for some $g \in G$;
- (iii) $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{g \in F^N} \psi(gA) \right]^{1/N} = 1$;
- (iv) if $p(B) > 0$ then

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{g \in F^N} \psi(gB) / \sum_{g \in F^N} \psi(gA) > 0.$$

Then there is a G -invariant measure ν equivalent to p with $\nu(A) = 1$.

PROOF. Let $H(g) = \psi(gA)$ for all $g \in G$. It satisfies the conditions of (1.1), hence there is a G -invariant positive linear functional ϕ on B_H with $\langle \phi, H \rangle = 1$ and there is a subnet $\{N_\gamma\}$ of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $\langle 1_{F^{N_\gamma}}, K \rangle / \langle 1_{F^{N_\gamma}}, H \rangle \rightarrow \langle \phi, K \rangle$ for all $K \in B_H$.

For $B \in \beta$ define $p_B(g) = \psi(gB)$ for all $g \in G$. Define a finitely-additive measure u on (X, β) by

$$u(B) = \begin{cases} \langle \phi, p_B \rangle & \text{if } p_B \in B_H \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus u is G -invariant since ϕ is G -invariant. If $p(B) = 0$ then $p(gB) = 0$ for all $g \in G$. So $p(B) = 0$ implies $\psi(gB) = 0$ for all $g \in G$ and $p_B = 0$. Hence $p(B) = 0$ implies $u(B) = 0$. If $p(B) > 0$ then either $u(B) = \infty$ or $u(B) = \langle \phi, p_B \rangle$ and

$p_B \in B_H$. In the second case, since (iv) holds and $\{N_\gamma\}$ gets arbitrarily large eventually, $u(B) > 0$.

Use Lemma 1.2 to obtain a G -invariant measure v equivalent to p from the finitely-additive measure u . Since $0 < v(A) \leq u(A) = 1$, a constant multiple of v is the desired measure. ■

COROLLARY 1.4. *Let G be a group of non-singular measurable transformations of a σ -finite measure space (X, β, p) . Let G be generated by a finite set F . Let $A \in \beta$ with $p(A) > 0$. Assume*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{g \in F^N} p(gA) \right]^{1/N} = 1.$$

Assume also that when $p(B) > 0$

$$\inf_{N \geq 1} \sum_{g \in F^N} p(gB) \Big/ \sum_{g \in F^N} p(gA) > 0.$$

Then there exists a G -invariant measure v equivalent to p with $v(A) = 1$.

PROOF. Let $\psi(B) = p(B)$ for $B \in \beta$. Then ψ satisfies the four conditions of Theorem 1.3. ■

REMARK. An interesting case in which Corollary 1.4 applies is when $p(X) = 1$ and G is a nilpotent group or at least contains a nilpotent subgroup of finite index. Then $\|F^N\|^{1/N} \rightarrow 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$; see Wolf [4]. It follows

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{g \in F^N} p(gA) \right]^{1/N} = 1.$$

So there is a G -invariant measure v equivalent to p with $v(A) = 1$ if for all $p(B) > 0$

$$\inf_{N \geq 1} \sum_{g \in F^N} p(gB) \Big/ \sum_{g \in F^N} p(gA) > 0.$$

The following corollary also comes from Theorem 1.3.

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let G be a finitely-generated nilpotent group of non-singular measurable transformations of a σ -finite measure space (X, β, p) . Assume there is a positive measurable function f on X with*

$$0 < \sup_{g \in G} \int_{gA} f dp < \infty.$$

Assume when $p(B) > 0$ then

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{g \in F^N} \int_{gB} f dp \Big/ \sum_{g \in F^N} \int_{gA} f dp > 0.$$

Then there exists a G -invariant measure ν equivalent to p with $\nu(A) = 1$.

PROOF. Let $\psi(B) = \int_B f \, dp$ in Theorem 1.3. ■

REMARK. Clearly if p is equivalent to a σ -finite G -invariant measure ν with $\nu(A) = 1$ then the function $d\nu/dp$ satisfies Corollary 1.5. Corollary 1.4 is more interesting than 1.5 because it does not depend on finding the function f . Horowitz [3] has a result similar to Corollary 1.4 for an ergodic, conservative Markov operator.

If the $\sup_N \sum_{g \in F^N} p(gA) < \infty$, then the hypotheses of Corollary 1.4 are all satisfied. This is the dissipative case.

2.

It is an open question whether a converse of Corollary 1.4 holds. One should perhaps also assume in this context that $\bigcup_{g \in G} gA = X$ and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{g \in F^N} p(gA) \right]^{1/N} = 1.$$

Then the question is, assuming there is a G -invariant measure ν equivalent to p with $\nu(A) = 1$, does it follow that for all B with $p(B) > 0$

$$\inf_{N \geq 1} \sum_{g \in F^N} p(gB) \bigg/ \sum_{g \in F^N} p(gA) > 0?$$

We say a subset $B \in \beta$ is A -bounded when $1_B \leq \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{g_i A}$ a.e. $[p]$ for some $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$. Since $\bigcup_{g \in G} gA = X$, any B with $p(B) > 0$ there is an A -bounded $B_0 \subset B$ with $p(B_0) > 0$. So the converse need only be verified for A -bounded sets.

There is at least one case in which the above condition does hold. We say G is *ergodic* if all G -invariant sets $B \in \beta$ satisfy $p(B) = 0$ or $p(X \setminus B) = 0$. If G is ergodic and $p(B) > 0$ then $\bigcup_{g \in G} gB = X$ a. e. $[p]$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Assume (X, β, p) is a measure space with $A \in \beta$ such that,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{g \in F^N} p(gA) \right]^{1/N} = 1.$$

Assume G is ergodic and for all A -bounded $B \in \beta$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{g \in F^N} p(gB) \bigg/ \sum_{g \in F^N} p(gA) \text{ exists.}$$

Then if $p(B) > 0$,

$$\inf_{N \geq 1} \sum_{g \in F^N} p(gB) \bigg/ \sum_{g \in F^N} p(gA) > 0.$$

PROOF. Let $u(B)$ be the

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{g \in F^N} p(gB)}{\sum_{g \in F^N} p(gA)}$$

for A -bounded sets $B \in \beta$. Let $u(B) = \infty$ otherwise. Since

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{g \in F^N} p(gA) \right]^{1/N} = 1,$$

there is a sequence $\{N_i\}$ such that for all $h \in G$,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\sum_{g \in F^{N_i} h \Delta F^{N_i}} p(gA)}{\sum_{g \in F^{N_i}} p(gA)} \right) = 0.$$

Using $\{N_i\}$, as in Theorem 1.1, shows u is G -invariant. Let v be induced by u as in Lemma 1.2. Then v is a G -invariant measure and $p(B) = 0$ implies $u(B) = 0$ and hence, $v(B) = 0$. But we also know by the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem that $u = v$ when restricted to any A -bounded set because there u is a measure. If $p(B) > 0$, G ergodic implies $\bigcup_{g \in G} gB = X$ a. e. $[p]$. Since $v(A) = 1$ and v is G -invariant, $v(B) > 0$. Hence, for any A -bounded B with $p(B) > 0$ we have $u(B) > 0$ and thus,

$$\inf_{N \geq 1} \frac{\sum_{g \in F^N} p(gB)}{\sum_{g \in F^N} p(gA)} > 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

REMARK. It is not clear that the limit $u(B)$ need exist for all A -bounded B when there exists a G -invariant measure v equivalent to p with $v(A) = 1$. This is also not clear in the case we replace the index N by N_i chosen as in the proof of (2.1). In the following we will see that such limiting behavior is the case when $v(X) < \infty$ and $p(X) < \infty$.

We consider now a finitely-generated group G and a fixed sequence of finite sets $F_N \subset G$ such that for all $g \in G$

$$\frac{\|gF_N \Delta F_N\|}{\|F_N\|} \rightarrow 0.$$

We also assume $F_N^{-1} = F_N$ for all N . Such a sequence $\{F_N\}$ exists if and only if G is amenable. (See [2] for the construction of such sequences.) We call $\{F_N\}$ a Følner sequence.

We assume we have a probability space (X, β, p) on which G acts as a group of non-singular measurable transformations. The measure gp is defined by $gp(E) = p(gE)$ for all $E \in \beta$. For any $g \in G$, gp is equivalent to p and there is a positive measurable function w_g such that $gp(E) = \int_E w_g(x) dp(x)$ for all $E \in \beta$.

Let $L_1(p)$ be the absolutely-integrable functions of X with $\|f\|_1 = \int_X |f| dp$.

Let $L_\infty(p)$ be the a.e. bounded functions with $\|f\|_\infty = \inf\{K: K \geq |f| \text{ a.e. } [p]\}$. Define $L_g f(x) = f(gx)$ and $\tau_g f = L_g f w_g$ for all $f \in L_1(p)$ and $g \in G$. Then $\|\tau_g f\|_1 = \|f\|_1$ for all $f \in L_1(p)$. Let ϕ_N be the linear operator defined by $\phi_N f = (1/\|F_N\|) \sum_{g \in F_N} \tau_g f$. Then $\|\phi_N f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$ for all $f \in L_1(p)$. The question we are concerned with here is the $\|\cdot\|_1$ -convergence of $\phi_N f$ for $f \in L_1(p)$.

THEOREM 2.2. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *For all $f \in L_1(p)$ there is $f^* \in L_1(p)$ such that $\phi_N f \rightarrow f^*$ in the $\|\cdot\|_1$ norm.*
- (ii) *There is $f_0 \in L_1(p)$ such that $1/(\|F_N\|) \sum_{g \in F_N} w_g \rightarrow f_0$ in the $\|\cdot\|_1$ norm.*

PROOF. Since $\phi_N 1 = 1/(\|F_N\|) \sum_{g \in F_N} w_g$, (i) implies (ii). Assume (ii). Let $S_1 = \{\tau_g f - f: f \in L_1(p) \text{ and } g \in G\}$ and $S_2 = \{f \in L_\infty(p): L_g f = f \text{ for all } g \in G\}$. Let $S_0 = \text{Span } S_1 \cup S_2$. For $f \in L_1(p)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_N(\tau_g f - f)\|_1 &= \frac{1}{\|F_N\|} \left\| \sum_{h \in F_N} (\tau_h \tau_g f - \tau_h f) \right\|_1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\|F_N\|} \sum_{h \in g F_N \Delta F_N} \|\tau_h f\|_1 \\ &\leq \|f\|_1 \frac{\|g F_N \Delta F_N\|}{\|F_N\|} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $\phi_N S$ converges to zero in the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ for $S \in S_1$. For $S \in S_2$, $\phi_N S = S \sum_{g \in F_N} w_g / \|F_N\| = S \phi_N 1$; so $\|\phi_N S - S f_0\|_1 \leq \|S\|_\infty \|\phi_N 1 - f_0\|_1$ where f_0 is as in (ii). Hence, $\phi_N S \rightarrow S f_0$ in the $\|\cdot\|_1$ norm for all $S \in S_2$. We have for all $S \in S_0$, there exists $S^* \in L_1(p)$ such that $\phi_N S \rightarrow S^*$ in the $\|\cdot\|_1$ norm. Since the operators ϕ_N are uniformly bounded, if we show S_0 is $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dense in $L_1(p)$ then we are finished. But if S_0 is not $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dense there exists $H \in L_\infty(p)$ such that $H \neq 0$ and $0 = \int S H dp$ for all $S \in S_0$. But then for all $f \in L_1(p)$,

$$0 = \int (\tau_g f - f) H dp = \int f (L_{g^{-1}} H - H) dp.$$

This implies $L_g H = H$ for all $g \in G$. Hence, $H \in S_2$ and $0 = \int H^2 dp$. So $H = 0$, a contradiction. ■

REMARK. If p is invariant, each $w_g = 1$ and (ii) is trivially satisfied. Thus (i) holds if p is invariant. This result is in Greenleaf [2].

The following corollary will further clarify the condition of Theorem 2.2.

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let (X, β, p) and G be as above. Consider the following properties of (X, β, p) and G :*

- (i) *There exists a finite G -invariant measure equivalent to p .*
 (ii) *For all $f \in L_1(p)$, $\phi_N f$ converges in $L_1(p)$.*
 (iii) *For all $B \in \beta$, $(1/\|F_N\|) \sum_{g \in F_N} p(gB)$ converges.*
 (i) *implies (ii) and (ii) implies (iii) always. If G is ergodic then (iii) implies (i).*

PROOF. Assume v is a finite G -invariant measure equivalent to p . Let $f_0 \in L_1(v)$ with $f_0 \geq 0$ such that $p(E) = \int_E f_0 dv$ for all $E \in \beta$. By Theorem 2.2 applied to (X, β, v) and G , there exists $f_0^* \in L_1(v)$ such that

$$\int \left| \frac{1}{\|F_N\|} \sum_{g \in F_N} L_g f_0 - f_0^* \right| dv \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $f_0^*/f_0 \in L_1(p)$ and for all $g \in G$, $L_g f_0 = w_g f_0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \left| \frac{1}{\|F_N\|} \sum_{g \in F_N} w_g - f_0^*/f_0 \right| dp \\ &= \int \left| \frac{1}{\|F_N\|} \sum_{g \in F_N} L_g f_0 - f_0^* \right| \frac{1}{f_0} dp \\ &= \int \left| \frac{1}{\|F_N\|} \sum_{g \in F_N} L_g f_0 - f_0^* \right| dv \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.2, we have (i) implies (ii). If (ii) holds, then in particular there is $f_1 \in L_1(p)$ such that $\phi_N 1 \rightarrow f_1$ in the $\|\cdot\|_1$ norm. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_B \frac{1}{\|F_N\|} \sum_{g \in F_N} w_g dp - \int_B f_1 dp \right| \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{1}{\|F_N\|} \sum_{g \in F_N} w_g - f_1 \right\|_1 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_B (1/\|F_N\|) \sum_{g \in F_N} w_g dp$ exists. This is (iii). If (iii) holds then $v(B) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (1/\|F_N\|) \sum_{g \in F_N} p(gB)$ defines a probability measure by the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem. Because of the conditions on the sequence $\{F_N\}$ v is G -invariant. If $p(B) = 0$, then each $p(gB) = 0$ and $v(B) = 0$. Now if G is ergodic then $p(B) > 0$ implies $\bigcup_{g \in G} gB = X$ a.e. $[p]$ So $v(B) > 0$ as well. Thus v is a measure as in (i). ■

REMARK. If (iii) holds then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a G -invariant $B \in \beta$ with $p(X/B) < \varepsilon$ and a finite measure v supported on B such that v is equivalent to p on B and v is G -invariant. Using this, one can show (iii) implies (i) always.

If case (i) holds, we actually have when $p(B) > 0$ then $\inf_{g \in G} p(gB) > 0$. Hence,

the ratio condition of Corollary 1.4 holds and the condition that $\lim_N \|F^N\|^{1/N} = 1$ is the same as the condition that $\lim_N [\sum_{g \in FN} p(gA)]^{1/N} = 1$. Also, if we choose $\{N_i\}$ as in Proposition 2.1 then $\{F^{N_i}\}$ is a Følner sequence and Corollary 2.3 (iii) holds. This should be compared with the remark after Proposition 2.1.

REFERENCES

1. A. Calderón, *Sur les mesures invariants*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **240** (1955), 1960–1962.
2. F. Greenleaf, *Ergodic theorems and the construction of summing sequences in amenable locally compact groups* (to appear in Comm. Pure and Appl. Math).
3. S. Horowitz, *On σ -finite invariant measures for Markov processes*, Israel J. Math. **6** (1968), 338–345.
4. J. Wolf, *Growth of finitely-generated solvable groups*, J. Differential Geometry (4) **1** (1968), 421–446.

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
VANCOUVER, CANADA